



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/719,755 | 11/21/2003 | Andrea Demetrius Bowens-Jones | 9447 | 3138 |

27752 7590 03/13/2007
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION
WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE
CINCINNATI, OH 45224

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1617

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

03/13/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/719,755 Examiner Renee Claytor | BOWENS-JONES ET AL. Art Unit 1617 |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see Continuation sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s): _____.

13. Other: _____.


SREENI PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant's argue that the Schraer reference does not teach that the water-reactive monomers are intended to polymerize into a film until activated by sweat or water. However, Schraer teaches that water-reactive monomers refers to monomers that react with water or sweat to polymerize under ambient conditions (Col. 3, lines 17-22). Schrader et al. further teaches that the composition is in the form of discontinuous polymer-containing films positioned over the sweat ducts in the skin wherein the resulting polymer-containing films/plugs are derived from water-reactive monomers that react with sweat or other aqueous liquid (Col. 13, lines 21-31). Applicant's further argue that the prior office action cited suspending/thickening agents to meet the skin-adhering polymer feature recited in the claims. In the previous office action, it was pointed out that the composition also contained suspending or thickening agents such as organic solids, silicone solids, and gellants, which meets the limitation of a thickening agent claimed in claims 1-2 and 12 (Col. 9, lines 22-27). Therefore, the Examiner did not relying upon a single component to read on two separate and distinct features. In addition, Schrader does not list a laundry list of thickening agents, the agents listed above are the agents listed in the reference. Applicant's assertion that Table 1 does not disclose a composition having a skin-adhering polymer and a separate thickening agent are unfounded; the composition contains water-reactive monomers (skin-adhering polymers) in addition to the thickening agent cyclopentasiloxane. Applicant's arguments that Swaile teaches ethanol as a liquid carrier is not found persuasive. Swaile teaches that ethanol (anhydrous solvents) further dissolves the antiperspirant actives and this provides an improved dry feel application, antiperspirant efficacy and stability of the dissolved active. Because of the teachings of Swaile, one would be motivated to add ethanol into the composition of Schrader for increased effectiveness of the anhydrous antiperspirant.